

Dear Secretary,

Please do not
censor TV ads which
show actual abortions.
Please allow the truth
to be considered by
the public.

Thankyou
Neil Foerster

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

0 1105 S. Miller
Farmington, N. Mex.
87401

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

92-254

Jan 15, 1993

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

Secretary of FCC,

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Please register my letter that
pro-life candidates must be permitted
to air graphic pro-life ads on
television. Please protect our freedoms
as set in the Declaration of Independence
and the Constitution.

RECEIVED

JAN 19 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM

No. of Copies rec'd 0
UNLAB CODE

Sincerely,
Sara Lynn
RD 1, Box 21A
Vanderbilt, PA
15476

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

1-9-93

RECEIVED

JAN 19 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM

92-254
Dear officials of the F.C.C. please do not allow restrictions on pro life television ads.

The ads that the pro life people are airing is about real life and to restrict them from airing their ads would be grossly unjust and unfair.

Just a few nights ago I was watching a television program around 21:00 hour that was filthy and graphic, why should these people have this freedom of speech but people who care about human life not have the same right.

As free americans we should be able to enjoy our freedom of speech as others who don't share our same views do.

With the amount of violence you can find at anytime on T.V. like the news channels how could it be fair or just to restrict ads that tell the truth about abortion.

Please do not let the truth about abortion be colored up. Please give pro life equal rights and equal time. Let people see the truth about abortion and make up their own minds.

Love America and help us remain our freedoms.

Thank you very much for your time.

Joseph E. Eaker

No. of Copies rec'd

1-9-93

92-254

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Dear FCC

FCC MAIL ROOM
JAN 19 1993

RECEIVED

Why do you want to ban people from running commercials about abortion?

I agree its not a pretty sight to see. However it is a necessary one to see if someone is pro life or pro abortion or lazy.

Example 1. Pro-life people could run a commercial of an abortion in progress with the announcer saying "Now you tell me that didn't look like a human being."

Example 2. Pro-abortion people could run the same ad with an announcer saying, "I told you it wasn't a human being, it doesn't even look like a hum hum hum human being."

Any questions please
call or write.

Thank You
Dave Glump
4415 S. 35th ST.
Omaha NE 68102
402-733-5212

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Robert Lawrence Ley
1653 Barth Avenue
Indianapolis, Indiana 46203-2743
(317) 631-7929

January 11, 1992

Federal Communications Commission
Office Of The Secretary
Mr. Milton Gross
1919 "M" Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

JAN 19 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM

RECEIVED

JAN 19 1993

MAIL BRANCH

Dear Mr. Gross:

Apparently you have been taking comments regarding the Mike Bailey For Life For Congress pro-life television ads since October. For some reason it has taken until now for our local media, WBRI-AM 1500 radio in particular, to learn of it. First of all, you may want to check with your communications office to find the problem since this shows one exists.

I am a supporter of Mike Bailey and it may seem hard to believe but I wish the ads did not have to air---but they had to be aired! There is a serious problem affecting all of America, the killing of 1,500,000-plus innocent pre-born children a year. Many Americans have heard these numbers thrown around and have not given it very much thought. Numbers have little effect if you do not see what those numbers represent. In today's society pictures have a dramatic effect in helping people realize a problem, how it affects people and things, and how to successfully combat the problem. This is done with issues like homelessness, sex, poverty, and hunger here and throughout the world. Mike's ads that similar ads across the country allow millions of thinking American voters to see the results of this act, the one thing our so-called conscious media has chosen not to do.

The foundation of Mike Bailey's campaign was, and still is, the sanctity of innocent human life. If our elected officials do not have enough respect and responsibility for this most basic aspect of society then how can we expect them to respect any other aspects (money, property, liberty, etc.)?

If our media, news or entertainment, can show at any time of the day or night such things as people in under-garments, personal hygiene product use, Howard Stern's "colorful" comments, starving Somalians, presidential cysts, sexual encounters, and slice-and-dice movies then truthful and important information offering understanding to the results of a national nightmare like abortion (without electronic distortion and editing) should be allowed!

I thank you for your time and attention and look forward to a copy of the results of your study.

Sincerely,

Robert L. Ley

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List A B C D E

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

January 14, 1993

RECEIVED

JAN 19 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM

Mass Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M. Street, NW
Washington, DC 20554

92-254/

Re: Television Ads for Pro-Life Candidates

Dear Sir:

If this nation is going to legalize something so atrocious and heinous as murdering unborn babies, I think the public should see what happens to them in this horrible procedure. It might help to curb this senseless violence to our unborn children.


I feel that the violence, sex, and filthy language that is so prevalent on television (even in cartoons) should be stopped. I believe it is a contributing factor to our violent society and moral decay.

Thank you in advance for what you will do to promote wholesome television.

Sincerely from two concerned citizens,


Melvin Wise


Emily Wise

No. of Copies rec'd 
LIST ABCDE

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

January 13, 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
1919 M STREET
WASHINGTON DC 20554

RECEIVED
JAN 19 1993
FCC MAIL ROOM

TO WHOM THIS MAY CONCERN:

I am writing to voice my concern that the FCC will be ruling shortly, on whether or not pictures/videos of aborted babies may be used in the campaign ads for candidates running for Federal offices. Although these pictures/videos are gruesome to look at, the fact remains that abortion has gruesome consequences. Someone always dies. I believe that it is within the guaranteed 1st amendment rights of a candidate for Federal office to express their personal beliefs during a campaign, in the manner that the candidate judges necessary, in order to reflect these beliefs. Their are many of us who believe that abortion is murder, and continue to work for its ultimate demise, however politically incorrect this position may be. Please continue to support the guaranteed rights given to us by the United States Constitution, even if we do not agree. I ask that you will rule to continue to allow that the pictures/videos of aborted babies can be shown in campaign ads by candidates running for Federal offices.

Sincerely,

No. of Copies rec'd 0
UNABCODE

Bart & Kim Bingle

10939 W. Rd. #1714
Houston, Tx
77064

January 13, 1993

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
1919 M STREET
WASHINGTON DC 20554

92-254
RECEIVED
JAN 19 1993
FCC MAIL ROOM

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List A B C D E _____

TO WHOM THIS MAY CONCERN:

I am writing to voice my concern that the FCC will be ruling shortly, on whether or not pictures/videos of aborted babies may be used in the campaign ads for candidates running for Federal offices. Although these pictures/videos are gruesome to look at, the fact remains that abortion has gruesome consequences. Someone always dies. I believe that it is within the guaranteed 1st amendment rights of a candidate for Federal office to express their personal beliefs during a campaign, in the manner that the candidate judges necessary, in order to reflect these beliefs. There are many of us who believe that abortion is murder, and continue to work for its ultimate demise, however politically incorrect this position may be. Please continue to support the guaranteed rights given to us by the United States Constitution, even if we do not agree. I ask that you will rule to continue to allow that the pictures/videos of aborted babies can be shown in campaign ads by candidates running for Federal offices.

Sincerely,

J. B. [Signature]

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List A B C D E _____

20927 [Signature]
[Signature] 2/77385

HEARTLAND HOMES, INC.

Homes With Personality.

January 13, 1993

Federal Communication Commission
OFFICE OF SECRETARY
1919 M. Street
Washington, D.C. 20554

92-254
RECEIVED
JAN 19 1993
FCC MAIL ROOM

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993


FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

To whom it may concern:

This letter is to register my support for allowing graphic Right to Life advertising to air in television commercials.

Public comment on this topic expires January 22nd.

Thank You,



William Nunez
President

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List A B C D E

Naples / Golden Gate
2154 Santa Barbara Blvd.
Naples, Florida 33999
(813) 455-4500

Naples Model Center
1870 Golden Gate Blvd. West
Naples, Florida 33999
(813) 353-2113

Pt. Charlotte/ North Port
1900 Tamiami Trail, Unit 133
Pt. Charlotte, Florida 33948
(813) 255-5200

Pt. Charlotte Model Center
20207 Peachland Blvd.
Pt. Charlotte, Florida 33948
(813) 624-3443

Cape Coral/San Carlos
621 S.E. Cape Coral Pkwy., Suite 2
Cape Coral, Florida 33904
(813) 540-1700



Printed On Recycled Paper • Lic. CBC024049

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Melanie Wise
2600 Caladium Drive
Atlanta, GA 30345

RECEIVED

JAN 19 1993

FCC MAIL ROOM

92-254

January 14, 1993

Mass Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M. Street N.W.
Washington, DC 20554

RE: Television ads of pro-life political candidates

To Whom It May Concern:

I believe the public should view on television the horrible reality of abortion.

What is really offensive is the trash with which we are constantly bombarded - the sex, violence, and filthy language.

I wish you could make television producers clean up their acts and encourage them to create shows which are wholesome, noble, and uplifting.

Thank you for reviewing the public's opinions on this matter.

Sincerely,

Melanie Wise

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List A B C D E

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

RECEIVED

JAN 19 1993

01/13/93

Denny & Mitzi Hamilton
8606 Illinois Road
Fort Wayne, IN 46804

FCC MAIL ROOM

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary
1919 M Street
Washington, D.C. 20554

92-254

Dear Sir:

It has come to our attention that your office is considering changing its policy of allowing victims of abortion to be shown on commercials by people running for political office. These commercials are only showing apathetic and indifferent people in this country what is really happening, and a few do not want to accept this fact. We support the concept of Freedom of Speech, and we feel that you should continue these commercials.

If you discontinue these commercials, how can you continue to show accident victims, murder victims and other brutality on television. The concept is the same.

Denny & Mitzi Hamilton

Denny Hamilton
Mitzi Hamilton
FCC REC'D
LISTABOUE

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

January 13, 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
1919 M STREET
WASHINGTON DC 20554

RECEIVED
JAN 19 1993
FCC MAIL ROOM

92-254

TO WHOM THIS MAY CONCERN:

I am writing to voice my concern that the FCC will be ruling shortly, on whether or not pictures/videos of aborted babies may be used in the campaign ads for candidates running for Federal offices. Although these pictures/videos are gruesome to look at, the fact remains that abortion has gruesome consequences. Someone always dies. I believe that it is within the guaranteed 1st amendment rights of a candidate for Federal office to express their personal beliefs during a campaign, in the manner that the candidate judges necessary, in order to reflect these beliefs. There are many of us who believe that abortion is murder, and continue to work for its ultimate demise, however politically incorrect this position may be. Please continue to support the guaranteed rights given to us by the United States Constitution, even if we do not agree. I ask that you will rule to continue to allow that the pictures/videos of aborted babies can be shown in campaign ads by candidates running for Federal offices.

Sincerely,



No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

RECEIVED

JAN 19 1993

FCC - MAIL ROOM

Richard Singaas
P. O. Box 26733
Kansas City, MO 64196
January 9, 1993

The Federal Communications Commission
Mass Media Bureau
1919 M. Street NW
Washington, DC 20554

No. of Copies rec'd 2
List A B C D E

RE: Docket Number 92-254

Dear Sir/Madam:

By all means, the FCC should continue to require broadcasters to air all paid political announcements in an unrestricted and unedited manner. Only in such a way can all political views be fairly brought before the voting public. No political advertising should be censored, regardless of its unpopularity or controversial nature. This is one area where the First Amendment right of free speech must definitely be protected.

I have heard reports that some television stations have refused to broadcast the political ads of certain pro-life candidates because they show pictures of aborted babies. They claim the ads are "obscene" and should not therefore be aired except at late night hours. That claim is ludicrous! The trashy programs the TV networks are airing now is what is obscene. I think you should crack down on all the profanity, violence and gratuitous sex on television nowadays. It is sheer hypocrisy for the TV stations to claim that political ads showing real dead babies are somehow more "obscene" than the violent rapes and killings they pass off as entertainment all year long. Just count the number of cases of murder, mugging, molesting, rape, and nudity or near-nudity on TV shows in an average evening sometime! It is enough to make you sick. You see, they love obscenity when they can produce it!

Many true life TV documentaries show graphic scenes of destruction, calamity, pain, death, starvation, and other forms of human suffering and tragedy. So why aren't such shows censored? Obviously, because they don't carry a political message. At least, not an unpopular one. But just try to get a TV documentary showing the truth about abortion on the air! Those are censored for the same reason that the stations want pro-life political ads censored. They aren't "politically correct", that is why. The stations want to air only 100% politically correct propaganda, all the while wanting the public to think they are fair and unbiased. What a sham!

If anyone dares to challenge the purveyors of filth on a real and justified obscenity charge, they yell, "CENSORSHIP"! But they themselves are the true censors. They will not allow the truth about moral issues to be aired on their facilities. They are being irresponsible, and they are abusing their freedom of speech, turning it into a right to propagandize. Please stop them.

Yes, I have seen obscene commercials on TV before, but they weren't political ads. Ad agencies use sex to sell just about anything now. Some of the ads are downright obscene. Do you wonder why the stations don't want a ban on them? It is because they couldn't care less that many viewers are offended by them. (By the way, I hope the FCC bans or restricts condom advertising. I heard that condom advertising is the next onslaught we will have to endure from the trash generators who call themselves "producers".)

It cannot be claimed that showing aborted babies gives pro-life candidates an unfair advantage. I heard that all 20 of the candidates who used such commercials in their campaigns lost in the recent election.


If unborn babies are not human beings, but merely globs of tissue as the "pro-choicers" want us to believe, then an abortion is certainly no more obscene than an appendectomy or a tonsillectomy.

I remember seeing TV documentaries in recent years on human reproduction and on sexual diseases and on other medical topics that explicitly showed both male and female genitalia. I suppose that because the shows were factual, presented in a scientific or medical setting, and not intended to appeal to prurient interests, they were not deemed pornographic or obscene. The same is true of the political ads that some pro-life candidates chose to use. They just present hard medical facts -- facts that the public needs to know but don't because any and all portrayals of these facts have been banned due to the political bias of so many station managers.

So you see, the real reason some stations want to refuse to air pro-life political advertising is that they don't agree with the message. They are pro-abortion (or "pro-choice", as they say), and they don't want the truth about abortion to be seen or heard. They are only using the "obscenity" charge as a smoke-screen to hide their political bias. Please do not allow them to get away with it. Please continue to force broadcasters to air political ads without qualifications or restrictions.

Thank you.

Sincerely,


Richard Singsaas

January 13, 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
1919 M STREET
WASHINGTON DC 20554

RECEIVED

JAN 26 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

92-254

RECEIVED
JAN 19 1993
FCC MAIL ROOM

TO WHOM THIS MAY CONCERN:

I am writing to voice my concern that the FCC will be ruling shortly, on whether or not pictures/videos of aborted babies may be used in the campaign ads for candidates running for Federal offices. Although these pictures/videos are gruesome to look at, the fact remains that abortion has gruesome consequences. Someone always dies. I believe that it is within the guaranteed 1st amendment rights of a candidate for Federal office to express their personal beliefs during a campaign, in the manner that the candidate judges necessary, in order to reflect these beliefs. There are many of us who believe that abortion is murder, and continue to work for its ultimate demise, however politically incorrect this position may be. Please continue to support the guaranteed rights given to us by the United States Constitution, even if we do not agree. I ask that you will rule to continue to allow that the pictures/videos of aborted babies can be shown in campaign ads by candidates running for Federal offices.

Sincerely,

Karen Owens

Karen Owens
20927 Marcia Dr.
Spring, Tx.
77388

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List A B C D E